Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/626,515	SEMEIA, ROBERTO
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Jong-Suk (James) Lee	3673
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) Jong-Suk (James) Lee.	(3)	
(2) Ms. Meera P. Narasimhan (Reg. No. 40,252).	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>1 December 2005</u>	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ App Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	licant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed:		
1, 10, 23, 24, 26, and 32-43		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GET See Continuation Sheet	NERAL NATURE OF WHAT V	WAS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief sumn 	The examiner will provide a vector of the substance of	vritten summary of the substance the interview, since the interview
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applic	ant/Applicant's Representativ	e Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant's attorney, Ms. Narasimhan authorized examiner's amendment to amend the above mentioned claims in order to correct formal/informal under 35 USC 112, 2nd and to cancel non-elcted claims 37-43. Claims 1-36 are allowed (see examiner's amendment for the detail).